Corporate Risks

| Risk Code & Title | RAG Status | Impact | Likelihood | Current Rating |
|---|---------------|--------|------------|-------------------|
| CRR_CED01 Equal pay claim | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| CRR_CED02 Insufficient staff capacity - skills, knowledge, and availability etc | | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| CRR_DEG01 Inability to demonstrate a five-year supply of deliverable housing sites against the housing target leading to further development on unallocated sites | Ø | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| CRR_DEG02 Failure to properly manage our property assets | Ø | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| CRR_DEG04 Ability to deliver Rushcliffe Oaks project on time and within budget | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| CRR_DEG05 Ability to deliver Bingham Arena and Enterprise Centre project on time and within budget | <u> </u> | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Removed – the opening of Bingham Arena to the public on 20 February 2023 is the completion | n of this pro | ject. | | |
| CRR_DEG07 Failure to deliver the new core strategy in partnership with Greater Nottingham Housing Market area | | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| CRR_DEG08 Failure to secure the Local Development Order for the Ratcliffe on Soar site | | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| CRR_FCS01 Failure to properly deal with community governance review legislation, Community Right to Challenge, and nominations for assets of community value | Ø | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| CRR_FCS02 Reduction in Government funding linked to New Homes Bonus Fairer funding and business rates reviews and the impact of the overall Comprehensive Spending Review | | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| CRR_FCS03 Failure to prevent or detect fraud and corruption | Ø | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| CRR_FCS05 Revaluation of major business rate payer ie the impact of Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station closure | | 4 | 3 | 12 |
| CRR_FCS06 Lack of funding from partners | | 2 | 3 | 6 |

| Risk Code & Title | RAG Status | Impact | Likelihood | Current Rating |
|--|---------------|--------|------------|-------------------|
| CRR_FCS07 Central Government policy changes | | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| CRR_FCS08 Inadequate capital resources | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| CRR_FCS09 Fee income volatility | | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| CRR_FCS11 Increased demand for services | | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| CRR_FCS12 Risk and return from Asset Investment Strategy | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| CRR_FCS13 Failure to deliver the Transformation Strategy | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| CRR_FCS20 Failure to properly manage and deliver significant projects | ② | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| CRR_FCS21 Potential inflationary pressures, with volatility over prediction for budget | | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| CRR_FCS22 Uncertainty around Government funding and changes to the business rates system with a one-year financial settlement | | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| CRR_FCS23 ICT supplier goes out of business | | 3 to 2 | 2 to 3 | 6 |
| Impact reduced from 3 to 2 and likelihood increased from 2 to 3 - there is a lot of uncertainty at market for companies struggling and on the verge of bankruptcy. One of our suppliers have recontributing to the instability of suppliers. | | | | |
| CRR_FCS24 Long term loss/failure of main ICT systems | ② | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| CRR_FCS25 Loss or compromise of sensitive data | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| CRR_FCS26 Short term loss/failure of main ICT systems | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| CRR_FCS27 Threat of major successful cyber-attack | | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| CRR_FCS28 Failure to comply with General Data Protection Regulation | | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| CRR_FCS29 Loss or compromise of confidential or restricted information or data | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| CRR_FCS31 Increases in interest rates which potentially increases the burden if the Council has to borrow | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| CRR_NS08 Failure of internal health and safety compliance or enforcement of health and safety | Ø | 3 | 1 | 3 |

| Risk Code & Title | RAG Status | Impact | Likelihood | Current Rating | | | |
|--|---------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| CRR_NS09 Unforeseen incidents happening at public events | ② | 4 | 1 | 4 | | | |
| CRR_NS10 Failure of business continuity | | 3 | 2 | 6 | | | |
| CRR_NS11 Ineffective emergency planning arrangements | ② | 2 | 2 | 4 | | | |
| CRR_NS13a Response to flooding impacts on delivery of statutory services | | 2 | 3 | 6 | | | |
| CRR_NS13b Inadequate resources to respond to flooding incidents | ② | 2 | 2 | 4 | | | |
| CRR_NS18 Failure of public sector partnerships / withdrawal of financial support | ② | 2 | 2 to 1 | 4 to 2 | | | |
| Likelihood reduced from 2 to 1 - Rushcliffe PCN committing more funding to the joint Health Development Officers post. | | | | | | | |
| CRR_NS19 Failure to safeguard children and vulnerable adults | △ to ❷ | 3 | 2 to 1 | 6 to 3 | | | |
| Likelihood reduced from 2 to 1 - the risk has improved with all staff undertaking E-learning Traiagain on a quarterly basis. | ning and th | e Steering | group now m | neeting | | | |
| CRR_NS21 Ensuring the Afghan Relocation Programme is supported in accordance with national guidance (funding and community cohesion) | Ø | 2 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| CRR_NS22 Ensure the Homes for Ukraine Scheme is supported in accordance with national guidance (funding & community cohesion) | Ø | 2 to 1 | 1 | 2 to 1 | | | |
| Impact reduced from 2 to 1??????? | | | | | | | |
| CRR_NS23 Failure to deliver the Carbon management plan objectives | | 3 | 2 | 6 | | | |

Operational Risks

| Risk Code & Title | RAG Status | Impact | Likelihood | Current Rating |
|---|---------------|---------|------------|-------------------|
| OR_CED01 Threat of violence to staff | △ to ⊘ | 2 | 3 to 2 | 6 to 4 |
| Likelihood reduced from 3 to 2 - likelihood was increased due to covid, but has now been reduced | back to un | likely. | | |
| OR_CED02 Failure to comply with Equality legislation | ② | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| OR_CED03 Risk to staff health due to their work | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| OR_CED04 Threat of Industrial Action | | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| OR_CED05 Failure to meet major statutory duties or take on board new legislation | Ø | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| OR_CED06 Inadvertent illegal activity, taking illegal decisions | Ø | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| OR_CED07 Ability of the Borough Council to maintain frontline services in the event of a future wave of Covid-19 | Ø | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| OR_DEG01 Failure to manage legionella issues | ② | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| OR_DEG02 Failure to manage asbestos in buildings under our control | ② | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| OR_DEG03 Failure to maintain council owned trees | ② | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| OR_DEG06 Cost of defending appeals for large scale residential developments and potential award of costs | Ø | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| OR_DEG07 Failure to determine major planning applications within 13 weeks or agreed period | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| OR_DEG08 Loss of income as a result of the refund of planning application fees under the provisions of the Government's Planning Performance and Planning Guarantee | | 2 | 3 to 4 | 6 to 8 |
| Likelihood increase from 3 to 4 as a result of receiving a request for refund on a major scheme £32,324. Taking legal advice but likely to have to be paid back. | | | | |
| OR_FCS06 Failure to manage and monitor budget | ② | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| OR_FCS07 Lack of implementation of financial controls | ② | 2 | 2 | 4 |

| Risk Code & Title | RAG Status | Impact | Likelihood | Current Rating |
|---|---------------|--------|------------|-------------------|
| OR_FCS08 Exposure to breach of VAT rules | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| OR_FCS10 Reputational risk to the Council following adverse media coverage | ② | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| OR_FCS11 Unauthorised access to IT systems | | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| OR_FCS12 Partners closure of buildings where RBC has contact points | Ø | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| OR_NS02 Disruption and lack of fuel preventing collection of domestic waste | Ø | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| OR_NS20 Significant malfunction of core services/security risk at Council's temporary accommodation premises | Ø | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| OR_NS25 Failure to deliver mandatory DFG grant due to insufficient staffing | | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| OR_NS28a Increasing number of developments and greater opportunity for affordable housing | | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| OR_NS29 Lack of or inappropriate monitoring of the Council's contracts in place | Ø | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| OR_NS30 Lack of emergency accommodation for those at risk of homelessness, fleeing domestic violence and in crisis | Ø | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| OR_NS31 Increased number of residents presenting as homeless as a result of income reduction, loss of employment and domestic violence leading to a loss of homes | Ø | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| OR_NS34 Increased risk of ASB and other related safety concerns arising from the use an asylum contingency hotel by the Home Office for asylum seekers | _ | 4 | 2 | 8 |

Appendix B

Opportunity Risk

| Risk Code & Title | RAG Status | Impact | Likelihood | Current Rating |
|---|---------------|--------|------------|-------------------|
| OPP_DEG01 Opportunity provided by Rushcliffe Oaks | | 4 | 4 | 16 |
| OPP_DEG02 County Deals – failure to secure opportunities for greater collaboration and Government funding | > | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| OPP_FCS01 Increases in interest rates leading to higher interest income on cash balances that are invested | Ø | 4 | 3 | 12 |
| OPP_NS01 Opportunity with the in-sourcing of Streetwise to provide other chargeable services to both public and private sector in line with the Council's charging policy | • | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Risk Threat and Opportunity Matrix

| | | Risk – Threats | | | | Risk - Opportunities | | | | | |
|------------|---------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|---------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|------------|
| | Likely 4 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 4 | Likely 4 | |
| pood | Possible 3 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 | Possible 3 | pood |
| Likelihood | Unlikley 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | Unlikley 2 | Likelihood |
| | Rare 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Rare 1 | |
| | | Insignificant 1 | Minor 2 | Moderate 3 | Major 4 | Significant 4 | Moderate 3 | Minor 2 | Insignificant 1 | | |
| | | | lmp | act | | Impact | | | | | |

Table 1 Consequence / Impact
This is a measure of the consequences of the identified risk

| Risk - Threats | 5 | Risk - Opportu | nities |
|----------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| Impact | Thresholds and Description | Impact | Thresholds and Description |
| 1 – Insignificant | Financial Impact = <£10k No adverse impact on reputation | 1 – Insignificant | Little or no improvement to service Little or no improvement to welfare of staff / public |
| | No impact on partners | | Little or no financial income / efficiency savings (less than £10k) |
| | | | Little or no improvement to environment or assets |
| | | | Little or no feedback from service users |
| 2 – Minor | Financial Impact = £10k - £50k | 2- Minor | Minor improvement to service Minor improvement to welfare of |
| sec | Negative internal/ within sector impact on reputation Negative partner impact | | staff / public Improvement that produces £10k - £50K of income / efficiency savings |
| | | | Minor improvement to environment or assets Positive user feedback |

| Risk - Threats | | Risk - Opportu | nities |
|----------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Impact | Thresholds and | Impact | Thresholds and |
| | Description | | Description |
| 3 – Moderate | Financial Impact = >£100k | 3 – Moderate | Moderate improvement to service |
| | Negative Regional/Local impact on reputation | | Moderate improvement to welfare of staff / public |
| | Negative impact on key partnerships | | Improvement that produces £50k+ - £100k of income / efficiency savings |
| | | | Moderate improvement to environment or assets |
| | | | Positive local media contact |
| 4 – Major | Financial Impact = >£250k | 4 – Significant | Significant improvement to |
| | Negative National reputation | | service |
| | Key partners withdraw | | Significant improvement to welfare of staff / public |
| | | | Improvement that produces £100k+ of income / efficiency savings |
| | | | Significant improvement to environment or assets |
| | | | Positive local media coverage |

Table 2 Likelihood / Probability of Occurrence
This measures the chance of the risk or opportunity occurring

| Risk - Threats | 3 | Risk - Opportunities | | |
|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Likelihood | Thresholds and Description | Likelihood | Thresholds and Description | |
| 1 – Rare | Unlikely | 1 – Rare | Opportunity has not been fully investigated but considered extremely unlikely to materialise | |
| 2 – Unlikely | Possible | 2 – Unlikely | Opportunity has not been fully investigated; achievability is unproven / in doubt | |
| 3 – Possible | Probable within 2 years | 3 – Possible | Opportunity may be achievable, but requires significant management, planning and resources | |
| 4 – Likely | Probable within 12 months | 4 – Likely | Opportunity is achievable with careful management | |